UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,798	12/29/2005	Toru Maeda	070456-0098	8704
	7590 03/28/2007 「WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.		HARRIS, GARY D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	PAYS	03/28/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	oplication No. Applicant(s)				
		10/562,798	MAEDA ET AL.	MAEDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary D. Harris	1773				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WH - Ex aft - If I - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dotensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the provided period for reply will, by statute yreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D	ecember 2005					
		action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ح/∟	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	tion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
_	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n					
٠,٢	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement					
حارت		oloollon roquirement.		*			
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[	] The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign )  All b)  Some * c)  None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received							
CADOL CHANEV							
		SUPE	CARUL CHANET RVISORY PATENT EXAMINEI	R			
Attachment(s)							
_	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· <del>-</del>	nformal Patent Application				
rap	per No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:	<del></del> '				

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7 & 9-15, drawn to a Soft Magnetic Material.

Group II, claim(s) 8 & 16, drawn to a Method for producing a Dust Core.

The inventions listed as Groups I do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The Dust Core of Group I, could be produced by different methods including chemical deposition, vacuum forming, etc.

A telephone call was made to Stephen Becker on 3/2/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1773

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary D. Harris whose telephone number is 571-272-6508. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,798 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GH

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER